

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,882	07/02/2003	Anthony C. Sweeney	ENW 324	6301	
23581 75	590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER		
KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.			GLENN, KIMBERLY E		
520 S.W. YAM SUITE 200	IHILL STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			2817		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		Mu
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/613,882	SWEENEY ET AL.	
Ž	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Kimberly E Glenn	2817	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-4 and 7-16</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>17-20</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5 and 6</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)☐ disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims as originally filed does not recite the limitation of the N second transmission lines being electrically shielded from the first transmission line. The original specification does provide support for a shielding conductor on page 7 line 4.

Applicant amended the claims (6/14/04) to recite the limitation that the N second transmission lines are electically isolated from the first trasmission line. The terms "isolated" and "sheilded" implies different meanings. Therefore, the amendment filed 11/22/04 raises new issue that would require further consideration and search .

Robert Pascal
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800